

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,886	12/28/2001	Jay D. Hodson	24180-907000	1633
7:	590 05/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Stephen T. Scherrer			RHEE, JANE J	
McDermott, Will & Emery 227 West Monroe Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	60606-5096		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/034,886 HODSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jane Rhee	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
Period for Reply		(C) CD TUBTY (C) DAYO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2006.		
·_ ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-50 and 70</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-50,70</u> is/are rejected:			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
·	·		
• •			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections Repeated

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112 1st paragraph rejection of claims 31-50,70 has been repeated as previously made in office action 8/25/2005.

2. The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of claims 31,38-46 anticiapted by Huizinga has been repeated as previously made in office action 8/25/2005.

As to the new limitation "and a second portion, wherein the first portion is disposed from the second portion in the transverse of the surface of the flexible film", Huizinga discloses that the first laser scored pattern comprises a first portion and a second portion, wherein the first portion is displaced from the second portion in the transverse direction of the surface of the flexible film (figure 5 number 21).

- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 32-37,49-50,70 unpatentable over Huizinga in view of Schlaeppi has been repeated as previously made in office action 8/25/2005.
- 4. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 47 and 48 unpatentable over Huizinga in view of Bailey has been repeated as previously made in office action 8/25/2005.

New Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1745

5. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new matter limitation is "and a second portion, wherein the first portion is disposed from the second portion in the transverse of the surface of the flexible film".

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that support for the phrase "first laser scored pattern" is found on page 14 line 3 which reads "the laser beam is applied to a surface of the web to score.." and takes the position that a surface has the same meaning as first surface, is not considered support for the limitation "first laser scored pattern." When referring to a surface, it can be considered any surface and refers to only one single surface, whereas <u>first</u> surface is a specific surface and provides evidence that there is more than one surface because if there is a first surface then there must be a second surface. Hence, "a surface" is not support for "first laser scored pattern".

In response to applicant's argument that amendment of claim 39 has support in the preliminary amendment filed on March 3, 2003, support only comes from original filed claims and the original filed specification and not the preliminary amendment filed Art Unit: 1745

on March 3, 2003. Secondly, the phrase "second portion" was searched through out the specification and not found.

Applicant argues that Huizinga fail to disclose that a plurality of laser scored patterns form a first line of weakness in the flexible film running continuously in the machine direction of the film, and then states that Huizinga teaches "score lines 21 in this case do not continue as far as the turned down rim of the packaging material but terminate in full material near point P." (col. 5 lines 3-6). Applicant refers the embodiment in figures 8a-8g of a cigar container wherein the examiner refers to the sachet in figure 2a to 3a which states in col. 3 line 62-63 that score line 21 may have a continuous or a discontinuous form. As to the limitation "wherein the first plurality of laser scored patterns form a first line of weakness in the flexible film running continuously in the machine direction of the flexible film", Huizinga teaches in figure 3a score line 21 is continuous in the machine direction. Applicant is accentuating the process of forming the first plurality of laser scored patterns wherein, in the machine direction the first plurality of laser scored patterns is formed in one line. However, the end product wherein the film comprises a first plurality of laser scored patterns form a first line of weakness in the flexible film running continuously in the machine direction of the flexible film, is an end product that provides a continuous pattern that eliminates any gaps in the laser scored patterns regardless of how the pattern was formed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/034,886

Art Unit: 1745

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane Rhee whose telephone number is 571-272-1499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,886

Art Unit: 1745

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jane Rhee May 5,2006 PATRIČK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER